arXiv:0905.1153v2 [math.KT] 27 May 2009

EQUIVARIANT CORRESPONDENCES AND THE BOREL-BOTT-WEIL THEOREM

HEATH EMERSON AND ROBERT YUNCKEN

Abstract. We formulate and prove the special case of Serre duality involved in the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem in the language of equivariant Kasparov theory. The method is to combine the Atiyah-Singer index theorem and the framework of equivariant correspondences developed in another paper by the first author and Ralf Meyer. The twisted Dolbeault cohomology groups of the flag variety figuring in the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem are interpreted as the equivariant analytic indices of an appropriate family of equivariant elliptic operators. These analytic indices are equal to their topological indices by the Aityah-Singer theorem and we prove our result by by purely topological calculations with the topological indices. The key point in the proof is the construction of a family of equivariant self-correspondences parameterised by the Weyl group. These intertwine the topological indices up to the sign change and shift factor predicted by the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem.

1. Introduction

Let G be a complex semisimple Lie group and $B \subset G$ a minimal parabolic subgroup. Let μ be a weight for G and E_μ the corresponding induced holomorphic line bundle on the holomorphic G-manifold G/B. The *Borel-Bott-Weil* Theorem computes the Dolbeault cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_\mu)$. More specifically, since G acts holomorphically on G/B, the Dolbeault cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_\mu)$ determines a virtual representation of G, and it is this representation which the theorem computes. In this note, we formulate and prove a close relative of the Borel-Bott-Weil Theorem in the framework of equivariant correspondences and equivariant KK-theory.

The Borel-Bott-Weil Theorem can be broken down into two parts. Firstly, one can compute $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_\mu)$ in the case where μ is a dominant weight. In dimension 0, this is the classical Borel-Weil Theorem; in higher dimensions the cohomology vanishes. Secondly, the general case can be reduced to the dominant case by repeated application of Serre duality. This yields a (degree-altering) isomorphism between the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_\mu)$ and $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_{\mu'})$, where μ' is any weight in the orbit of μ under the shifted Weyl group action. It is this 'duality' aspect of the Borel-Bott-Weil Theorem which we are interested in. We will construct elements of equivariant KK-theory which implement K-homology maps analogous to the family of isomorphisms above.

We will make crucial use of the theory of equivariant correspondences developed in [10]. However, the only equivariant correspondences we will be using have a special form. If K is a compact group, acting holomorphically on smooth complex manifolds X and Y, with X *compact*, then a *K-equivariant holomorphic correspondence from X to Y* is a quadruple (M, b, f, ξ) where M is a smooth complex manifold, with a holomorphic action of K, $\xi \in \mathrm{K}_0^K(M)$ is an equivariant K-theory

---

Heath Emerson was supported by a Natural Science and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery grant. Robert Yuncken was supported by a PIMS post-doctoral fellowship at the University of Victoria, where this research was carried out.

class, and $b\colon M \to X$ and $f\colon M \to Y$ are holomorphic maps. Such correspondences are sometimes denoted $X \xleftarrow{b} (M,\xi) \xrightarrow{f} Y$. Subject to a further technical condition they can be shown to yield canonical morphisms in the equivariant topological Kasparov group $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(X,Y)$ defined in [10], which itself consists of equivalence classes of more elaborately-defined correspondences. Holomorphic correspondences in general position with respect to each other can be composed in a very simple way using transversality, and the induced morphisms in $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K$ compose in the same way. Finally, there is a functor $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K \to \mathrm{KK}^K$ from topological to analytic Kasparov theory, so holomorphic correspondences yield morphisms in the analytic theory.

Now we can explain how to make the bridge between the objects involved in the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem and equivariant Kasparov theory. This bridge is *literally* supplied by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for the twisted Dolbeault operator, understood as a K-equivariant elliptic operator on G/B, where $K \subset G$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G. This approach, featuring the compact subgroup K rather than the ambient group G itself, is consistent with the original formulation of Bott's theorem ([3]), which computes the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_\mu)$ as graded K-representations, instead of G-representations – the formulations of the theorem in terms of G and in terms of K are related by Weyl's Unitary Trick, while in the context of equivariant Kasparov theory they are related by the Baum-Connes conjecture.

The μ-twisted Dolbeault cohomology of X as a virtual K-representation is the same as the K-index $(G/B)_\mu \in \mathrm{R}(K)$ in the sense of Atiyah and Singer [1] of the Dolbeault operator $\bar\partial + \bar\partial^*$ made self-adjoint using a K-invariant Hermitian metric on the tangent bundle of G/B, and twisted by E_μ. It follows from the general yoga of equivariant index theory that a K-equivariant self-adjoint elliptic operator determines a homology class in the equivariant Kasparov KK-group $\mathrm{KK}^K(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathbb{C})$ (see [13] for an introductory treatment to K-homology and elliptic operators, or the papers of Kasparov, *e.g.* [16], which discuss the equivariant case). The K-index of such an operator depends only on this equivariant K-homology class. In fact the equivariant KK-group $\mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the representation ring $\mathrm{R}(K)$, and this identifies the K-equivariant analytic index map of Atiyah and Singer with the map

$$\mathrm{KK}^K(\mathcal{C}(X), \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{R}(K)$$

induced functorially from the map of X to a point.

We now return to holomorphic correspondences. Let B be a minimal parabolic subgroup. Let μ be a root, E_μ the corresponding K-equivariant complex line bundle on G/B, $[E_\mu] \in \mathrm{K}^0_K(G/B)$ the corresponding K-theory class. This data constitutes a K-equivariant holomorphic correspondence from G/B to a point by setting $M := G/B, \xi := [E_\mu], b = \mathrm{id}$ and f the map to a point. We obtain from this a canonical class

$$[G/B]_\mu \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B, \star) \tag{1.1}$$

in the topological K-equivariant K-homology of X; we call this *the topological fundamental class of G/B twisted by the root μ*. The *topological index* of this class in $\mathrm{KK}^K(\star,\star)$ is obtained by composing with the map from G/B to a point. It is thus represented by the holomorphic correspondence $\star \leftarrow (G/B, E_\mu) \to \star$ from a point to itself. What is essential is that the Atiyah-Singer index theorem identifies the K-equivariant *analytic* index $(G/B)_\mu \in \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{R}(K)$ of the E_μ-twisted Dolbeault operator, which is the main object of interest, with the image under the map $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\star,\star) \to \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ of the topological index class. Even better, the strengthening of the Atiyah-Singer theorem by Kasparov in [15] implies that the image in $\mathrm{KK}^K(\mathcal{C}(G/B),\mathbb{C})$ of the topological fundamental class $[G/B]_\mu \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B,\star)$ of

(1.1) agrees with the class of the twisted K-equivariant Dolbeault operator, though this strengthening is not vital for our present purposes.

Our main goal is to compute the dependency of the K-equivariant analytic indices $(G/B)_\mu$ as a function of different weights μ within the same orbit of the shifted Weyl group action. By the paragraph above we can work with the corresponding topological indices instead. Our problem becomes purely topological.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, and let $\Gamma_W \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the lattice of weights. The Weyl group W is a reflection group acting on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ which preserves Γ_W. Let $\Delta^+ \subset \Gamma_W$ be a choice of a set of positive roots for G, which brings with it a generating set of *simple reflections* for W. (See Section 2.3 for these preliminaries.) The minimal word length in these simple reflections defines a length function on W which is denoted $l : W \to \mathbb{N}$.

Up to conjugacy, the minimal parabolic subgroup $B \subset G$ is the subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the α-root space of the Lie algebra of G. Fix $\rho := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha$.

We first formulate in explicit terms the direct analogue of the classical result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a complex semisimple Lie group, B the maximal parabolic subgroup, K the maximal compact subgroup. For any weight μ of G and any $w \in W$, the identity*

$$(-1)^{l(w)}(G/B)_{w(\mu+\rho)-\rho} = (G/B)_\mu \quad \in \mathrm{R}(K) := \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$$

holds in $\mathrm{R}(K)$.

As explained above, the proof technique is to work with the topological indices instead. We use the same notation for these, *e.g.* $(G/B)_\mu \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\star, \star)$ also designates the class of the K-equivariant holomorphic correspondence $\star \leftarrow (G/B, E_\mu) \to \star$.

Theorem 1.2 follows from a kind of intertwining formula involving other holomorphic correspondences. These are defined as follows. The Weyl group element w conjugates the subgroup B to another minimal parabolic subgroup B_w. The homogeneous space $G/B \cap B_w$ admits a pair of natural K-equivariant holomorphic fibrations to G/B and G/B_w. Since the latter space is K-equivariantly biholomorphic to G/B, we have realized $G/B \cap B_w$ as a holomorphic fibred space over G/B in two different ways. In fact, in each case $G/B \cap B_w$ is K-equivariantly biholomorphic to the total space of a complex K-equivariant vector bundle over G/B. Using the Thom class associated to one of these equivariant bundles we obtain a K-equivariant holomorphic correspondence

$$G/B \xleftarrow{p} (G/B \cap B_w, \tau_w) \xrightarrow{p_w} G/B_w \cong G/B$$

from G/B to itself. This yields an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B, G/B)$ which we denote by $\Lambda(w)$ and call the *Borel-Bott-Weil morphism with parameter $w \in W$*. The following theorem will easily imply Theorem 1.2, but contains significantly more information.

Theorem 1.3. *(Borel-Bott-Weil product formula). For any weight μ and $w \in W$, the identity*

$$\Lambda(w) \otimes_{G/B} [G/B]_\mu = (-1)^{l(w)}[G/B]_{w(\mu+\rho)-\rho} \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B, \star), \tag{1.4}$$

holds, where $\Lambda(w)$ is the Borel-Bott-Weil morphism with parameter w.

The analogous statements hold in analytic equivariant Kasparov theory KK^K.

Finally, we explain how to replace K-equivariance by G-equivariance in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 using the Baum-Connes conjecture. This is presumably more of interest to noncommutative topologists. The Borel-Bott-Weil theorem is a statement about non-unitary representations of non-compact groups. Kasparov theory does not admit such representations. Instead, equivariant Kasparov theory for non-compact groups uses unitary, but possibly infinite-dimensional representations, and almost-equivariant Fredholm operators; these are the cycles for the Kasparov representation ring $\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$. There is a restriction map

$$\mathrm{KK}^G(A,B) \to \mathrm{KK}^K(A,B)$$

when $K \subset G$ is a maximal compact subgroup as above, by forgetting G-equivariance to K-equivariance on cycles. The Baum-Connes apparatus shows this map is an isomorphism when A has the form $A = \mathcal{C}(G/B) \otimes A'$ for some G-C*-algebra A'; this follows from a theorem of Tu [37]. Since all the analytic Kasparov classes defined by us have this form, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 have their counterparts with K replaced by G.

This article attempts to answer a question posed by Nigel Higson he was visiting Victoria in the fall of 2008. We would like to thank him for his stimulating question.

The reference [34] provides an overview of Kasparov theory, while the main source for this material is the papers [15] and [16]. The survey [8] surveys the framework of equivariant correspondences, but the main reference is [10].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Equivariant correspondences.** The environment in which the calculations of this paper will take place is the topological model for equivariant Kasparov theory developed in [10]. In this section we will review only the parts of this theory which are necessary for the present work. For more detail, we refer the reader to the source, or to the survey paper [8].

A significant simplifying factor for the present article is that we will be interested exclusively in equivariance with respect to a *smooth* action of a *compact* group K on a smooth manifold. Unfortunately, even this apparently innocent situation brings problems when developing equivariant KK-theory using purely topological objects like maps and vector bundles. The following example is a typical pathology. By a *subtrivial vector bundle* on X we mean a direct summand of a product $X \times E$ for some linear representation of K, in which the K-action is diagonal.

Example 2.1. The integers X with the trivial action of the circle is a smooth manifold with smooth action of K, but has an equivariant vector bundle which is not subtrivial. (Use the identification $X = \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$).

Such simple pathologies interfere seriously with defining a composition rule for correspondences; in topological Kasparov theory they must be avoided. This is one of the reasons behind the following definition.

Notation 2.2. Throughout this paper, if V is a vector bundle over a space X, we will denote the bundle projection by $\pi_V : V \to X$, the zero section by $\zeta_V : X \to V$, and the total space by $|V|$.

Definition 2.3. Let X and Y be two K-spaces. A *K-equivariant normal map from X to Y* consists of a triple $(V, E, \hat{f})$ where V is a subtrivial K-equivariant vector bundle over X, E is a finite-dimensional linear representation of K, and $\hat{f} \colon |V| \to Y \times E$ is a K-equivariant open embedding. The *trace* of the normal map is the function $f : X \to Y$ given by the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, hook, "\hat{f}"] & Y \times E \arrow[d, "\pi_{Y\times E}"] \\ X \arrow[u, "\zeta_V"] \arrow[r, dashed, "f"] & Y. \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.4}$$

The *degree* of the normal map is $\dim(V) - \dim(E)$, if this is constant; otherwise the degree is not defined.

The normal map is *smooth* if all bundles are smooth, and $\hat{f}$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset of $Y \times E$.

Remark 2.5. The definition of a normal map is a distillation of the properties needed to describe the wrong-way element $f! \in \mathrm{KK}_*^K(\mathcal{C}_0(X), \mathcal{C}_0(Y))$ associated to a *smooth* (suitably oriented) map $f : X \to Y$ in purely topological terms. In general, the associated wrong-way morphism depends on the factorisation (2.4). But in many cases specifying the trace f and an orientation is sufficient; such is the case in the context of the present article. This point will be explained in the next section. For this reason, we will frequently blur the distinction between a smooth normal map and its trace.

One can always alter a normal map $(V, E, \hat{f})$ by adding a trivial bundle to its factorisation, in the following sense: given a finite-dimensional linear representation E' of K, replace V by $V \oplus (X \times E')$, replace E by $E \oplus E'$, and $\hat{f}$ by $\hat{f} \times \mathrm{id}_{E'}$. Such a modification is considered equivalent to the original one, and this equivalence relation is called *lifting*. There is a natural notion of *isomorphism* of normal maps $(V_1, E_1, \hat{f}_1)$ and $(V_2, E_2, \hat{f}_2)$, given by an equivariant bundle isomorphism $V_1 \to V_2$ and linear isomorphism $E_1 \to E_2$ which intertwine the open embeddings. In addition, there is a notion of *isotopy* of normal maps, which we shall not need explicitly here. Together, these generate an equivalence relation, called simply *equivalence* of normal maps. For smooth normal maps, we have natural definitions of smooth isomorphism and smooth isotopy, which together with lifting generate a relation of *smooth equivalence of smooth normal maps*.

A *K-equivariant* K*-orientation* [1] on a normal map is a K-orientation on E and a K-orientation on V, both respected by the action of K. There are corresponding K-oriented notions of lifting (wherein E' carries a K-orientation), of isomorphism, and of isotopy. For K-equivariant K-oriented normal maps, the equivalence relation generated by these three is again just called K*-oriented equivalence*. There is a corresponding notion of *smooth* K*-oriented equivalence*.

Let X be a K-space. Recall ([20]) that a *$K \ltimes X$-space* is a K-space M equipped with a K-equivariant continuous map to X. The *K-equivariant* K*-theory of a K-space X with X-compact support* is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded equivariant Kasparov group

$$\mathrm{RK}^*_{K,X}(M) := \mathrm{KK}_*^{K \ltimes X}(\mathcal{C}_0(X), \mathcal{C}_0(M)).$$

For a detailed description of this K-theory group, we refer the reader to [9]. Here, we merely note that when X is compact (always the case in this paper), it agrees with the usual K-equivariant topological K-theory group of M, $\mathrm{K}^*_K(M) := \mathrm{KK}^K_*(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}_0(M))$. This group has the structure of a ring, and we denote by $x \cdot y$ the ring product of elements x and y. If, for instance, x and y are represented by equivariant vector bundles, their ring product is represented by the corresponding bundle tensor product.

Definition 2.6. Let X and Y be K-spaces. A *K-equivariant correspondence from X to Y* consists of a quadruple (M, b, f, ξ) where M is a K-space, $b\colon M \to X$ is an equivariant map, f is an equivariant K-oriented normal map from M to Y, and $\xi \in \mathrm{RK}^*_{K,X}(M)$ is an equivariant K-theory class with X-compact support with respect to the map $b\colon M \to X$. We usually use the more illustrative notation

$$X \xleftarrow{b} (M, \xi) \xrightarrow{f} Y,$$

---

[1] The notation is unfortunate but seems unavoidable, given the long history of denoting the maximal compact subgroup of a Lie group by K.

as in [4].

The correspondence is *smooth* if X, Y and M are smooth, b is smooth and f is a smooth normal map.

The *degree* of the correspondence is the sum of the degrees of ξ and of f.

On equivariant correspondences there are again several notions of equivalence. The first is *equivalence of normal maps*, under which the normal map f is replaced by an equivalent normal map, in the sense above. The second is *bordism*, which we will not need explicitly here. The third is *Thom modification*, which is defined as follows. Recall that $|V|$ denotes the total space of a vector bundle V.

Definition 2.7. Let (M, b, f, ξ) be an equivariant correspondence from X to Y. Let V be a equivariant, subtrivial K-oriented equivariant vector bundle over M. The *Thom modification* of the correspondence along V is the correspondence $(|V|, b \circ \pi_V, f \circ \pi_V, \pi_V^*(\xi) \cdot t_V)$, where $t_V \in \mathrm{RK}^*_{K,M}(|V|)$ is the Thom class of V.

Together, these three relations generate an equivalence relation on equivariant correspondences. Equivalence classes of equivariant correspondences make up the morphisms in an additive category $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K$. For any pair of K-spaces X and Y, $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K_*(X, Y)$ denotes the abelian group of equivalence classes of equivariant correspondences from X to Y, graded by parity of degree.

There are two basic examples of $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K$-classes. Note that the identity map on a K-space is canonically realized as a normal map $(X \times 0, 0, \mathrm{id})$.

Example 2.8. If $b : Y \to X$ is a *proper* equivariant map, we define

$$b^* \ := \ \big[X \xleftarrow{b} (Y, \mathbf{1}_Y) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} Y\big] \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(X, Y),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_Y$ is the class of the trivial line bundle $Y \times \mathbb{C}$, the unit in $\mathrm{RK}^0_{K,X}(Y) = \mathrm{RK}^*_K(Y)$.

Example 2.9. If Φ is an equivariant K-oriented normal map of degree d from X to Y, we define the *wrong-way class* of Φ as

$$\Phi_! \ := \ \big[X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}} (X, \mathbf{1}_X) \xrightarrow{\Phi} Y\big], \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K_d(X, Y)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_X$ is the class of the trivial line bundle $E \times \mathbb{C}$ in $\mathrm{RK}^0_K(X)$.

There is a natural map $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K \to \mathrm{KK}^K$ yielding a map

$$\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K_*(X, Y) \to \mathrm{KK}^K_{-*}(\mathcal{C}_0(X), \mathcal{C}_0(Y))$$

which is an isomorphism when X is smooth and compact. (There is a more general result, but because of pathologies related to Example 2.1 this map is definitely not an isomorphism in general.)

2.2. **Holomorphic correspondences.**

In this section we make some simplifications in the definitions of normal map, correspondence, *etc* applicable in the situation we will be interested in. The main points are the canonicity (up to equivalence) of oriented normal maps with a given smooth trace (with certain conditions attached), and a composition rule involving an appropriate fibre product construction, which applies in the presence of transversality. Many results are stated without proof; the reader should refer to [10] for details.

Definition 2.10. We say a smooth K-manifold is *normal* if it admits a smooth K-equivariant normal map to a point.

In particular, any compact smooth K-manifold is normal, thanks to an equivariant embedding theorem of Mostow (see [10]).

Let K be a compact group. By a *complex K-manifold* we shall mean a smooth complex manifold X equipped with a holomorphic action of K. The tangent bundle $\mathrm{T}X$ has a canonical K-equivariant complex structure and a corresponding K-orientation.

Suppose the complex K-manifold X is in addition normal. The normal map $\Phi = (N, E, \hat{\phi})$ to a point is the specification of a smooth (in the real sense) equivariant embedding of X into a real linear representation E of K, with K-equivariant normal bundle N. A K-orientation on this normal map consists of K-orientations on N and E. Since $N \oplus X \cong X \times E$, this yields a K-orientation on $\mathrm{T}X$. If this agrees with the K-orientation originating from the complex structure on X, we say that Φ is *oriented by the complex structure on X*.

Lemma 2.11. (*cf.* [10].) *Let X be a normal complex K-manifold. Up to smooth* K*-oriented equivalence, there is a unique equivariant smooth normal map from X to a point which is* K*-oriented by the complex structure on X.*

Definition 2.12. The wrong-way class (as in Example 2.9) of this normal map is called the *(topological) fundamental class of* X, and denoted by $[X]$.

Let X be a normal complex K-manifold and $\Phi = (\mathrm{N}, E, \varphi)$ be a K-oriented normal map to of X to a point. Let Y be a complex K-manifold such that $\mathrm{T}Y$ is subtrivial, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth map. In [10], it is shown that there exists a smooth equivariant K-oriented normal map with trace smoothly homotopic to f. Moreover, the smooth K-oriented equivalence classes of such normal maps are in correspondence with the K-orientations on the bundle $\mathrm{N} \oplus f^*(\mathrm{T}Y)$. Since N is K-oriented by assumption and $\mathrm{T}Y$ is canonically K-oriented by its complex structure, there is a canonical choice for the K-orientation. A smooth equivariant K-oriented normal map from this canonically determined equivalence class will be said to be K*-oriented by the complex structures on X and Y*.

To summarize, we have canonicity of normal data for certain smooth maps as follows.

Proposition 2.13. *Assume that X and Y are smooth complex K-manifolds, such that X is normal and* $\mathrm{T}Y$ *is subtrivial. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a smooth equivariant map. Then up to equivalence there is exactly one smooth equivariant normal* K*-oriented map from X to Y which is both* K*-oriented by the complex structures on X and Y, and has trace in the smooth homotopy class of f.*

Next, let M_1, M_2, Y be complex K-manifolds. Assume that both M_1 and M_2 are normal K-manifolds and that $\mathrm{T}Y$ is subtrivial.

Two smooth maps $f_1\colon M_1 \to Y$ and $b_2\colon M_2 \to Y$ are *transverse* if for every pair of points $m_1 \in M_1$ and $m_2 \in M_2$ with $f_1(m_1) = b_2(m_2)$, the map $T_{m_1}M_1 \oplus T_{m_2}M_2 \to T_{f_1(m_1)}Y$, $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mapsto D_{m_1}f_1(\xi_1) + D_{m_2}b_2(\xi_2)$ is surjective. It is shown in [10] that when transversality holds, the fibre product

$$M_1 \times_Y M_2 := \{(m_1, m_2) \mid f_1(m_1) = b_2(m_2)\}$$

is itself a smooth normal manifold and that the projection $\mathrm{pr}_2\colon M_1 \times_Y M_2 \to M_2$ is the trace of a smooth equivariant normal map, unique up to smooth equivalence).

If f_1 and b_1 are *holomorphic* maps, the fibre product $M_1 \times_Y M_2$ will be a complex manifold, and the projection pr_2 a holomorphic map. By Proposition 2.13, there is an essentially unique smooth equivariant normal map which is K-oriented by the complex structures on $M_1 \times_Y M_2$ and M_2 and has trace in the same smooth homotopy class as the projection $M_1 \times_Y M_2 \to M_2$. One can check that this orientation agrees with the K-orientation arising for general smooth, transverse fibre products as defined in [10].

Definition 2.14. Let X and Y be smooth complex manifolds carrying holomorphic actions of the compact group K. Assume that $\mathrm{T}Y$ is subtrivial. A *holomorphic correspondence* from X to Y is a quadruple (M, b, f, ξ) where M is a normal complex K-manifold, the maps b and f are holomorphic and K-equivariant, and $\xi \in \mathrm{RK}^*_{K,X}(M)$ is an equivariant K-theory class with compact vertical support with respect to $b\colon M \to X$.

Again, we often use the notation $X \xleftarrow{b} (M, \xi) \xrightarrow{f} Y$ for a holomorphic correspondence from X to Y.

We repeat that any smooth *compact* K-manifold (whether or not complex) is normal. Furthermore, the tangent bundle of any smooth *compact* manifold with smooth action of K is subtrivial.

The discussion above shows that for a holomorphic correspondence in the sense of Definition 2.14 with *compact* target there is a *unique* (up to smooth equivalence) smooth K-equivariant normal map with trace smoothly homotopic to f and K-orientation compatible with the complex structures. Together with the other data we thus obtain a canonical equivalence class of K-equivariant correspondence from X to Y in the sense of Definition 2.6, and so an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(X, Y)$.

We will only use holomorphic correspondences in what follows. The lemma below records the fact that the class of the composition of two such correspondences which are general position with respect to each other, is represented by another of the same type.

Lemma 2.15. *Let X, Y and W be smooth complex manifolds with holomorphic actions of K such that $\mathrm{T}Y$ and $\mathrm{T}W$ are subtrivial. Let $X \xleftarrow{b} (M, \xi) \xrightarrow{f} Y$ and $Y \xleftarrow{b'} (M', \xi') \xrightarrow{f'} W$ be holomorphic correspondences. Assume that f is transverse to b'. Then the intersection product of the corresponding topological classes in $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K$ is represented by the holomorphic correspondence*

$$X \xleftarrow{b \circ \mathrm{pr}_1} (M_1 \times_Y M_2, \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\xi) \cdot \mathrm{pr}_2^*(\xi')) \xrightarrow{f' \circ \mathrm{pr}_2} W$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_i\colon M_1 \times_Y M_2 \to M_i$ are the projection maps.

Finally, we remark that the Thom modification of a holomorphic correspondence using a *subtrivial* equivariant holomorphic vector bundle is again a holomorphic correspondence.

2.3. **Complex semisimple Lie groups.**

Here we review some standard structure theory for semisimple groups and fix notation for the remainder of the paper. For details, see, for example, [17].

Let G be a complex connected semisimple Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. The *Killing form* on $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Ad-invariant bilinear form defined by

$$B(v, w) := \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(v)\mathrm{ad}(w)), \qquad v, w \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

There exists a conjugate-linear Lie algebra involution θ on $\mathfrak{g}$, called the *Cartan involution*, such that

$$\langle v, w \rangle := -B(\theta(v), w), \qquad v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$$

is a positive definite inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$; the archetypal example is the operation of negative-conjugate-transpose on $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The $+1$-eigenspace of θ is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of a maximal compact subgroup K of G.

A *θ-stable Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{h}$ is an abelian subalgebra maximal amongst those stabilized by θ. The associated subgroup of G is a *Cartan subgroup* H. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is abelian, the elements $\mathrm{ad}(v)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{h}$ are simultaneously diagonalizable, with eigenvalues defined by linear functionals $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. A nonzero eigenvalue α is called a *root* and its corresponding eigenspace is denoted $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. The set of roots will be denoted Δ. The 0-eigenspace is $\mathfrak{h}$, and we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

If α is a root, then $-\alpha$ is also a root, and θ interchanges $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. The inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ restricts to one on $\mathfrak{h}$, and hence on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. For a generic choice of $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we have $\langle \lambda_0, \alpha\rangle \neq 0$. Fixing such a choice, the set Δ^+ of *positive roots* is comprised of those roots α with $\langle\lambda_0,\alpha\rangle > 0$. There exists a basis for $\mathfrak{h}^*$ consisting of positive roots, called *simple roots*, with the property that every positive root is a non-negative integral linear combination of simple roots.

Let $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{a} := i\mathfrak{m}$, so that $\mathfrak{m}\oplus\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}$. The associated abelian subgroups are denoted M and A. Characters of $\mathfrak{m}$ which exponentiate to M are called *weights*. They form a lattice Γ_W in $\mathfrak{m}'$ (the $\mathbb{R}$-dual of $\mathfrak{m}$). The inclusion of $\mathfrak{m}$ into $\mathfrak{h}$ extends to a natural identification of the complexifications $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{h}$. We will use this identification without mention, in particular identifying the lattice Γ_W as a subset of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Note also that $\Delta \subset \Gamma_W$. A weight λ is *dominant* if $\langle\alpha,\lambda\rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha\in\Delta^+$.

The conjugation action of the normalizer $N_G(H)$ on H induces an action

$$w(\lambda) := \lambda\circ \mathrm{Ad}(w), \qquad (w \in N_G(H))\,.$$

of $N_G(H)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, which maps weights to weights and permutes the roots. The quotient of $N_G(H)$ by the kernel $Z_G(H)$ of this action is called the *Weyl group* W of G. The Weyl group is generated by the *simple reflections*, which are the reflections in the hyperplanes orthogonal to the simple roots. The *length* $l(w)$ of an element $w\in W$ is defined as its minimal word length in the simple reflections.

The half-sum of positive roots $\rho := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\alpha$ is a weight. We will define the *shifted action of the Weyl group* on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$w \circledcirc \lambda := w(\lambda+\rho) - \rho. \tag{2.16}$$

The direct sum of the positive root spaces $\mathfrak{n} := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is a nilpotent Lie subalgebra, and $\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{n}$ is a solvable Lie subalgebra. We denote the associated subgroups by N and B, respectively. A Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *minimal parabolic* or *Borel* if it is conjugate to $\mathfrak{b}$; the same adjectives are applied to the associated subgroups.

The G-space G/B is a smooth, compact complex manifold. By restriction it is also a smooth K-manifold, and K acts by holomorphic maps. Since G/B is compact, its tangent bundle as a K-vector bundle is subtrivial. Finally, note that since $G = KB$, the obvious map $K/M \to G/B$ is a K-equivariant biholomorphic isomorphism.

3. The Borel-Bott-Weil theorem

For a weight μ of K, let $\mathbb{C}_\mu$ denote $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the unitary representation of M exponentiated from μ, and let $E_\mu := K\times_M \mathbb{C}_\mu$ denote the corresponding induced K-equivariant line bundle over $K/M \cong G/B$. The complex K-equivariant vector bundle E_μ determines a class $[E_\mu] \in \mathrm{K}^0_K(G/B)$.

Such classes generate the equivariant K-theory of G/B in the following sense. A theorem of Pierre Julg provides an isomorphism $\mathrm{K}^0_K(G/B) \cong \mathrm{K}^0(K\ltimes \mathcal{C}(G/B))$. Since $G = KB$, the groupoids $K\ltimes G/B$ and $K\cap B = M$ are Morita equivalent. Hence the cross-product C*-algebras $K\ltimes\mathcal{C}(G/B)$ and $C^*(M)\cong \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{M})\cong\mathcal{C}_0(\Gamma_W)$ have the same K-theory. The K-theory of the discrete set Γ_W consists of finite $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of weights. It is routine to check that the isomorphism $\mathrm{K}^*(\Gamma_W)\to\mathrm{K}^*_K(G/B)$ sends point mass at a weight μ to the class of the equivariant vector bundle $[E_\mu]$.

Definition 3.1. Given a weight μ, we define the *topological fundamental class of G/B twisted by μ* to be the class $[G/B]_\mu \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B,\star)$ of the K-equivariant holomorphic correspondence $G/B \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}} (G/B, E_\mu) \to \star$ from G/B to a point.

The reason for the terminology is that the 'untwisted' fundamental class $[G/B] := [G/B]_0$ institutes a duality isomorphism (see [10])

$$\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K_*(G/B \times X, Y) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K_*(X, G/B \times Y)$$

valid for arbitrary K-spaces X and Y.

Remark 3.2. For example if $X = Y = \star$ then duality gives an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{K}^0(\Gamma_W) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B,\star).$$

This can easily be verified to send point mass at a weight $\mu \in \Gamma_W$ to the class $[G/B]_\mu$.

3.1. **Borel-Bott-Weil Correspondences.**

Let w be an element of the Weyl group $W = N_G(H)/Z_G(H)$. By abuse of notation, we will also use w to denote a lift of this element to $N_G(H) \subseteq G$. The subgroup $B_w := wBw^{-1}$ is independent of the choice of this lift. It is another minimal parabolic subgroup of G.

Consider the homogeneous space $G/B \cap B_w$. This admits two G-equivariant fibrations, given by the natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} p : G/B \cap B_w &\to G/B, \\ p_w : G/B \cap B_w &\to G/B_w. \end{aligned}$$

The nilradical of the Lie algebra of B is $\mathfrak{n} := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let us also define the nilpotent Lie algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}^- &:= \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \\ \mathfrak{n}_w &:= \mathrm{Ad}(w)\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{w(\alpha)}, \\ \mathfrak{n}_w^- &:= \mathrm{Ad}(w)\mathfrak{n}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-w(\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

with corresponding subgroups N^-, N_w and N_w^-. Note that $B = MAN$ (see Section 2.3), and correspondingly, $B_w = MAN_w$

Let us put $\Delta^- := -\Delta^+$. We can decompose $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$, where

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+\cap w(\Delta^+)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}_w, \qquad \mathfrak{n}_2 := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+\cap w(\Delta^-)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}_w^-$$

are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{n}$, with associated subgroups N_1 and N_2. Note that $B \cap B_w = MAN_1$. Since N is simply connected and nilpotent, the decomposition of $\mathfrak{n}$ exponentiates to a decomposition of groups $N = N_1N_2$ (with the decomposition of each $n \in N$ unique).

Combining this decomposition with the usual KAN-decomposition of G, we have the decomposition $G = KAN_1N_2$, again unique for each element. It will be convenient to use the alternative form $G = KN_2N_1A$, which is easily obtained from the usual one as follows: if $g = kb$ with $k \in K$, $b \in AN$, then $b^{-1} \in AN$ (since A normalizes N), and the inverse of the AN_1N_2-decomposition of b^{-1} leads to the desired decomposition of $g = kb$.

Let $V := G \times_B \mathfrak{n}_2$ (with B acting by the adjoint representation) be the holomorphic G-vector bundle over G/B. Note that as a K-vector bundle over $G/B = K/M$, it has the form $V = K \times_M \mathfrak{n}_2$.

Lemma 3.3. *The formula* $\psi : [k, \mathrm{x}] \mapsto k\exp(\mathrm{x}).(B \cap B_w)$ *defines a* K*-equivariant, biholomorphic isomorphism* $|V| \xrightarrow{\cong} G/B \cap B_w$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|V|} \arrow[r, "\psi", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & G/(B \cap B_w) \arrow[d, "p"'] \\
K/M \arrow[r, equal] & G/B.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.4}$$

commutes.

In other words, the K-equivariant holomorphic fibrations $\pi_V \colon V \to G/B$ and $p_w \colon G/B \cap B_w \to G/B$ are equivalent in the category of K-equivariant holomorphic fibrations.

Proof. To see that the map is well-defined, we compute, for any $m \in M$,

$$\psi : [km, \mathrm{Ad}(m^{-1})\mathrm{x}] \mapsto km.m^{-1}\exp(\mathrm{x})m.(B \cap B_w) = k\exp(\mathrm{x}).(B \cap B_w).$$

For surjectivity, let $g \in G$ be arbitrary and decompose it as $g = kn_2n_1a$ according to the preceding paragraph. Since $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{b}_w$, we have $g.(B \cap B_w) = \psi([k, \log n_2])$. Next suppose $[k, \mathrm{x}]$ and $[k', \mathrm{x}'] \in K \times_M \mathfrak{n}_2$ have the same image under ψ. Since $B \cap B_w = MN_1A$, there exist $m \in M$ and $n_1a \in N_1A$ such that

$$k'\exp(\mathrm{x}') = k\exp(\mathrm{x})mn_1a = km.\exp(\mathrm{Ad}(m^{-1}\mathrm{x})).n_1a.$$

By the uniqueness of the KN_2N_1A-decomposition, $k' = km$ and $\mathrm{x}' = \mathrm{Ad}(m^{-1})\mathrm{x}$, which is to say $[k', \mathrm{x}'] = [k, \mathrm{x}]$. That the map is K-equivariant is straightforward, as is the commutativity of the diagram of bundle maps. The map ψ can also be defined by $G \times_B \mathfrak{n}_2 \to G/(B \cap B_w)$; $\quad [g, \mathrm{x}] \mapsto g\exp(\mathrm{x}).(B \cap B_w)$, whence holomorphicity follows by the holomorphicity of the exponential map. □

From Lemma 3.3 we deduce that the complex K-manifold $G/B \cap B_w$ admits a smooth K-equivariant normal map to a point. Indeed, since G/B is compact, the K-equivariant vector bundle V is subtrivial. It follows that the bundle projection $V \to G/B$ is a smooth K-equivariant normal map. Again by compactness, G/B admits a smooth equivariant map to a point, so composing these gives a smooth equivariant normal map $G/B \cap B_w \cong |V|$ to a point.

For future purposes, we explicate the equivariant K-orientation on the complex equivariant vector bundle V. The spinor bundle for V is $\mathsf{S} = \bigwedge^\bullet_{\mathbb{C}} V = K \times_M \bigwedge^\bullet_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{n}_2$. The Killing form defines an M-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_2$, and letting λ_{x} denote the exterior product by $\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{n}_2$, the Clifford algebra representation

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 \subseteq \mathrm{Cliff}(\mathfrak{n}_1) \to \mathrm{End}(\textstyle\bigwedge^\bullet_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{n}_2); \quad c(\mathrm{x}) := \lambda_{\mathrm{x}} + \lambda_{\mathrm{x}}^* \tag{3.5}$$

induces a Clifford bundle representation $c : V \subset \mathrm{Cliff}(V) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathsf{S})$. The Thom class $t_V \in \mathrm{K}^0_K(|V|)$ of V is represented by the pair $(\pi^*\mathsf{S}, c)$. We will denote the pushforward of this class under ψ by $\tau \in \mathrm{K}^0_K(G/(B \cap B_w))$.

Of course, this entire discussion can be repeated beginning with the projection $p_w : G/B \cap B_w \to B_w$, rather than $p : G/B \cap B_w \to G/B$. Let us mention only the definitions that one makes on the way. Putting

$$\mathfrak{n}_2' := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in w(\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n}_w \cap \mathfrak{n}^-,$$

gives a decomposition $\mathfrak{n}_w = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2'$. With $V_w := K \times_M \mathfrak{n}_2'$, the map $\psi_w : [k, \mathrm{x}'] \mapsto k \exp(\mathrm{x}').(B \cap B_w)$ defines a K-equivariant biholomorphic bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} V_w \arrow[r, "\psi_w", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "\pi_w"'] & G/(B \cap B_w) \arrow[d, "p_w"] \\ K/M \arrow[r, equal] & G/B_w. \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.6}$$

The complex vector bundle V_w has Thom class $t_{V_w} := [(\pi_w^* \mathsf{S}', c)]$, where $\mathsf{S}' = K \times_M \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{n}_2'$ and $c : V_w \to \mathrm{End}(\pi_w^* \mathsf{S}')$ is the Clifford representation. Pushing this class forward by ψ_w yields a class $\tau_w \in K_K^0(G/B \cap B_w)$.

Since B_w is a conjugate of B, the spaces G/B_w and G/B are G-equivariantly diffeomorphic, via the map $R_w : g.(wBw^{-1}) \mapsto gw.B$. We now define a K-equivariant *holomorphic* correspondence from G/B to itself:

$$G/B \xleftarrow{\;p\;} (G/B \cap B_w, \tau_w) \xrightarrow{\;p_w\;} G/B_w \overset{R_w}{\cong} G/B. \tag{3.7}$$

Definition 3.8. The *Borel-Bott-Weil morphism* $\Lambda(w) \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B, G/B)$ *with parameter* $w \in W$ is the class of the K-equiariant holomorphic correspondence (3.7).

Example 3.9. If $w = 1$, then $B \cap B_w = B$, $\mathfrak{n}_2' = 0$ is the trivial subalgebra, and τ_w is the class of the zero bundle. Thus $\Lambda(1) = \mathrm{id}$.

3.2. **The Borel-Bott-Weil Theorem: Product formula.** In this section we prove the product formula of Theorem 1.3. Let μ be a weight of K and w an element of the Weyl group. On the one hand we consider the product of classes of holomorphic correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\; G/B \xleftarrow{\;p\;} (G/B \cap B_w, \tau_w) \xrightarrow{\;p_w\;} G/B_w \overset{R_w}{\cong} G/B \;\right] \\ &\qquad\qquad \otimes_{G/B} \left[\; G/B \xleftarrow{\;\mathrm{id}\;} (G/B, [E_\mu]) \longrightarrow \star \;\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

(See Definitions 3.1 and 3.8.) Trivially the maps $R_w \circ p_w$ and $\mathrm{id}_{G/B}$ are transverse and hence we can compute the composition in terms of the fibre-product construction of Lemma 2.15. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & G/B \cap B_w \times_{G/B} G/B \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{pr}_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{pr}_2"] & \\ G/B \cap B_w \arrow[r, "R_w \circ p_w"'] & G/B & G/B \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

The space at the top is equivariantly biholomorphic to $G/B \cap B_w$ via the first coordinate projection. The inverse of this map is $g.(B \cap B_w) \mapsto (g.(B \cap B_w), gw.B)$. The second coordinate projection thus identifies with

$$\mathrm{pr}_2 : G/B \cap B_w \to G/B; \qquad g.(B \cap B_w) \mapsto gw.B. \tag{3.11}$$

We now pull back the K-theory classes of the two correspondences along the coordinate projections, and take their product, yielding $\tau_w \cdot [\mathrm{pr}_2^* E_\mu]$.

We recall that any character of M extends to a character of $B = MAN$, for instance by defining it to be trivial on AN. Let μ be a weight, with associated one-dimensional representation space $\mathbb{C}_\mu$, with this extended representation of B. The induced G-equivariant line bundle $G \times_B \mathbb{C}_\mu$ over $G/B = K/M$ is equal, as a K-bundle, to $E_\mu := K \times_M \mathbb{C}_\mu$.

If we pull $G \times_B \mathbb{C}_\mu$ back by the G-equivariant map pr_2 of (3.11) we obtain a G-equivariant complex line bundle over $G/B \cap B_w$, namely

$$\mathrm{pr}_2^*(G \times_B \mathbb{C}_\mu) = \left\{ \left(g.(B \cap B_w), [h, z]\right) \in (G/B \cap B_w) \times (G \times_B \mathbb{C}_\mu) \;\middle|\; gw.B = h.B \right\},$$

with the diagonal action of G. The fibre at the identity coset identifies with $\{[w, z] \mid z \in \mathbb{C}_\mu\}$, upon which $b \in B \cap B_w$ acts by

$$b \cdot [w, z] = [bw, z] = [w(w^{-1}bw), z] = [w, e^\mu(w^{-1}bw)z] = e^{w(\mu)}(b)[w, z].$$

This shows that $\mathrm{pr}_2^* E_\mu = G \times_{B \cap B_w} \mathbb{C}_{w(\mu)}$, as a K-bundle. We will use F_ν to denote the bundle $G \times_{B \cap B_w} \mathbb{C}_\nu$, for any weight ν.

To summarize, we have shown that the the intersection product of K-equivariant holomorphic correspondences in (3.10) is the class of the holomorphic correspondence

$$\begin{tikzcd} G/B & (G/B \cap B_w, \tau_w \cdot [F_{w(\mu)}]) \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[r] & \star \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.12}$$

Moving to the right side of the product formula (1.4), we need to consider the class of the K-equivariant holomorphic correspondence

$$\begin{tikzcd} G/B & (G/B, [E_{w \circledcirc \mu}]) \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[r] & \star \end{tikzcd},$$

where, recall, $w \circledcirc \mu := w(\mu + \rho) - \rho$. Perform a Thom modification on this correspondence using the bundle $G/B \cap B_w \xrightarrow{p} G/B$, which Lemma 3.3 tells us is isomorphic to a K-oriented K-equivariant vector bundle. One can check that $p^* E_{w \circledcirc \mu} \cong F_{w \circledcirc \mu}$ by a more straightforward application of the argument used above. Thus, the result of the Thom modification is

$$\begin{tikzcd} G/B & (G/B \cap B_w, \tau \cdot [F_{w \circledcirc \mu}]) \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[r] & \star \end{tikzcd}. \tag{3.13}$$

Theorem 1.3 will follow if we can prove that the classes of the holomorphic correspondences (3.12) and (3.13) are equal, after multiplication by the sign $(-1)^{l(w)}$. This amounts to showing that the equality

$$\tau_w \cdot [F_{w(\mu)}] = (-1)^{l(w)} \tau \cdot [F_{w \circledcirc \mu}] \in K_K^0(G/B \cap B_w) \tag{3.14}$$

holds in the equivariant K-theory group $\mathrm{K}_0^K(G/B \cap B_w)$. In order to prove this, we need to mention some facts about vertical derivatives on vector bundles.

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a smooth vector bundle over a manifold M. Along the image of the zero section $\zeta : M \to E$ there is a canonical splitting of the tangent spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & E_m \arrow[r] & \mathrm{T}_{\zeta(m)} E \arrow[r, shift left, "D\pi"] & \mathrm{T}_m M \arrow[l, shift left, bend left, "D\zeta"] \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd},$$

for all $m \in M$, which provides a canonical vertical projection $\mathrm{pr_v}$ of $\mathrm{T}_{\zeta(m)} E$ onto E_m.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \to E$ be a smooth curve such that $\varepsilon(0)$ lies on the zero section. The vertical component of the derivative $\varepsilon'(0)$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{pr_v} \varepsilon'(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon(t).$$

Proof. Work in locally trivializing coordinates: a chart $\mathbb{R}^n \supset U \hookrightarrow M$ and bundle map $U \times R^k \to E$. In these coordinates, $\varepsilon(t) = (x(t), \xi(t))$. Then $D\zeta \circ D\pi(\varepsilon'(0)) = (\epsilon(0); (x'(0), 0)) \in \mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon(0)} E$, so $\mathrm{pr_v} \varepsilon'(0) = (x(0); \xi'(0))$. On the other hand, $\lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon(t) = \lim_{t \to 0}(x(t); t^{-1}\xi(t)) = (x(0); \xi'(0))$. □

Now let $E' \xrightarrow{\pi'} M'$ be another smooth vector bundle, with zero section ζ'. If $f : |E| \to |E'|$ is a smooth map (not necessarily a bundle map) which maps the zero section of E to that of E', then we can define a vertical derivative of f along the zero section of E by

$$(D_{\mathrm{v}} f)_m : E_m \hookrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\zeta(m)} E \xrightarrow{Df} \mathrm{T}_{f\circ\zeta(m)} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{v}}} E'_{\pi'\circ f\circ\zeta(m)}.$$

By the lemma, for any $\xi \in E$,

$$D_{\mathrm{v}} f(\xi) = \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1} \cdot f(t\cdot\xi). \tag{3.16}$$

Returning to the comparison of Thom classes on $G/B \cap B_w$, we recall the K-equivariant diffeomorphisms $\psi : V = K \times_M \mathfrak{n}_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} G/B \cap B_w$ and $\psi_w : V_w = K \times_M \mathfrak{n}_2 \to G/B \cap B_w$ of Section 3.1. Let Ψ denote the composition

$$\Psi : V \xrightarrow{\psi} G/B \cap B_w \xrightarrow{\psi_w^{-1}} V_w.$$

Recall that τ_w is the pullback by ψ_w^{-1} of the Thom class $[(\pi_w^* \mathsf{S}', c)] \in \mathrm{K}^0_K(|V_w|)$. We seek a description of $\psi^*\tau_w = \Psi^*[(\pi_w^*\mathsf{S}', c)] \in \mathrm{K}^0_K(|V|)$.

Note that $\Psi : [k, 0] \mapsto k.(B \cap B_w) \mapsto [k, 0]$, so that Ψ respects zero sections. Let θ denote the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\theta : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \to \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ for any weight α, we have $\theta : \mathfrak{n}_2 \to \mathfrak{n}_2'$. Decompose $\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{n}_2$ as $\mathrm{x} = (\mathrm{x} + \theta(\mathrm{x})) - \theta(\mathrm{x})$ with $\mathrm{x} + \theta(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\theta(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathfrak{n}_2'$. The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula implies that, up to error of $o(t)$,

$$\Psi : [1, t\mathrm{x}] \overset{\psi}{\longmapsto} e^{t(\mathrm{x}+\theta(\mathrm{x}))} e^{-t\theta(\mathrm{x})} \overset{\psi_w^{-1}}{\longmapsto} [e^{t(\mathrm{x}+\theta(\mathrm{x}))}, -t\theta(\mathrm{x})]. \tag{3.17}$$

Let us identify the fibre of $V = K \times_M \mathfrak{n}_2$ at the identity coset $[1] \in K/M$ with $\mathfrak{n}_2$, *ie*, abbreviate $[1, \mathrm{x}]$ as x. Applying Equation (3.16) to (3.17), the vertical derivative of Ψ at the identity coset is

$$D_{\mathrm{v}}\Psi_{[1]}.\mathrm{x} = \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}.\Psi([1, t\mathrm{x}]) = \lim_{t\to 0}[e^{t(\mathrm{x}+\theta(\mathrm{x}))}, -\theta(\mathrm{x})] = -\theta(\mathrm{x}). \tag{3.18}$$

Next we produce a homotopy of K-cycles. Define a smooth map $\phi : V \times [0,1] \to V \times [0,1]$ by $\phi(v,t) = (t\cdot v, t)$. This map is K-equivariant (where K acts trivially on $[0,1]$). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the pullback of the K-vector bundle $\Psi^*(\pi_w^*\mathsf{S}') \times [0,1]$ by ϕ. The fibre $\mathcal{E}_{(v,t)}$ is thus $\mathsf{S}'_{\pi_w\circ\Psi(t\cdot v)}$. In particular, at $t = 1$, $\mathcal{E}$ restricts to $\Psi^*\pi_w^*\mathsf{S}'$. At $t = 0$ we have $\phi(v, 0) = \zeta\circ\pi(v)$, where ζ is the zero section of V, and since Ψ maps the zero section of V to that of V_w, $\mathcal{E}$ restricts to $(\pi_w \circ \Psi \circ \zeta \circ \pi)^*\mathsf{S}' = \pi^*\mathsf{S}'$.

Define an endomorphism C of $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$C(v', t) = \begin{cases} t^{-1} \,.\, c\circ\Psi(t\cdot v), & t \neq 0 \\ D_{\mathrm{v}}(c\circ\Psi)_{\pi_w(v)}.v, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

This is continuous by Equation (3.16) and K-equivariant. By the linearity of the Clifford representation,

$$D_{\mathrm{v}}(c\circ\Psi)_{\pi_w(v)} v = c\big(D_{\mathrm{v}}\Psi_{\pi_w(v)}.v\big).$$

In particular, at the coset of the identity $[1] \in K/M$, Equation (3.18) gives

$$C(\mathrm{x}, 0) = c\big(D_{\mathrm{v}}\Psi_{[1]}.\mathrm{x}\big) = -c(\theta(\mathrm{x})),$$

where we are again identifying $[1, \mathrm{x}] \in \mathrm{T}_{[1]}V$ with $\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{n}_2$. If we extend the map θ to a map

$$\theta : V \to V_w; \quad [k, \mathrm{x}] \mapsto [k, -\theta(\mathrm{x})],$$

then by the K-equivariance of C we have $C(v, 0) = -c(\theta(v))$ for all $v \in V$.

Note that $C(v, t)$ is an isomorphism off the zero section of $\mathcal{E}$. Thus, the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$ defines a homotopy of $K^0_K(|V|)$-cycles. The cycle at $t = 1$ is the pullback $\Psi^* t_{V_w} = \psi^*\tau_w$ of the Thom class $t_{V_w} = [\pi_w^*\mathsf{S}', c]$ of V_w. At the other end, the cycle is $[(\pi^*\mathsf{S}', -c\circ\theta)]$.

Fix an ordered orthonormal basis $e_1, \ldots, e_l$ for $\mathfrak{n}_2$. Recall ([32, Chapter 3]) that the Hodge star operator $\circledast$ is the endomorphism of $\bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2$ which sends a k-vector $\omega \in \bigwedge^{k}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2$ to the $(l-k)$-vector satisfying

$$\phi \wedge \circledast\omega = \langle \phi, \omega \rangle e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_l,$$

for all $\phi \in \bigwedge^{k}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2$. If λ_{x} denotes exterior product by $\mathrm{x} \in V$, then $\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}^{*} = (-1)^{l+lk} \circledast \lambda_{\mathrm{x}} \circledast$ on forms of degree k.

Lemma 3.19. *The map*

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \beta : \bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2 & \\ \omega & \mapsto & (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \circledast \omega & \qquad (k = \deg \omega) \end{array}$$

satisfies

$$\beta \circ c(\mathrm{x}) \circ \beta^{-1} = -c(\mathrm{x})$$

for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{n}_2$.

Proof. This is merely a computation. If $\omega \in \bigwedge^{k}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2$, then $\circledast^2\omega = (-1)^{k(l-k)}\omega$ (again, see [32]). Thus,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega & \xmapsto{\beta^{-1}} & (-1)^{k(l-k)-\frac{1}{2}(l-k)(l-k-1)} \circledast \omega \\ & \xmapsto{\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}} & (-1)^{k(l-k)-\frac{1}{2}(l-k)(l-k-1)} \lambda_{\mathrm{x}} \circledast \omega \\ & \xmapsto{\beta} & (-1)^{k(l-k)-\frac{1}{2}(l-k)(l-k-1)+\frac{1}{2}(l-k+1)(l-k)} \circledast \lambda_{\mathrm{x}} \circledast \omega. \end{array}$$

Since $k^2 \equiv k$ modulo 2, the exponent of -1 in the final expression is $lk - k + (l-k) = lk + l$, so that $\beta \circ \lambda_{\mathrm{x}} \circ \beta^{-1} = \lambda_{\mathrm{x}}^{*}$. Since β is unitary, the adjoint of this gives $\beta \circ \lambda_{\mathrm{x}}^{*} \circ \beta^{-1} = \lambda_{\mathrm{x}}$. By Equation (3.5), this proves the lemma. ☐

Let us denote the set of roots of $\mathfrak{n}_2$ by $\Xi_w := \Delta^{+} \cap w(\Delta^{-})$. Note that Ξ_w contains $l(w)$ elements by [18, Proposition 4.11]. With the definition $\rho := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^{+}}\alpha$, let us also define

$$\sigma_w := \rho - w(\rho), \tag{3.20}$$

noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_w &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^{+}}\alpha - \sum_{\alpha\in w(\Delta^{+})}\alpha\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^{+}\cap w(\Delta^{-})}\alpha \quad - \sum_{\alpha\in w(\Delta^{+})\cap\Delta^{-}}\alpha\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Xi_w}\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Lemma 3.22. *The* $\mathfrak{n}_2$*-Clifford module* $\bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2$ *is* M*-equivariantly isomorphic to the module* $\bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}'_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_w}$ *with Clifford representation* c' *of* $\mathfrak{n}_2$ *defined by*

$$c'(\mathrm{x}) : \omega \otimes z \ \mapsto\ -c(\theta(\mathrm{x}))\omega \otimes z.$$

The isomorphism is even (resp. odd), with respect to the gradings on exterior products by degree, if $l(w)$ *is even (resp. odd).*

Proof. Extend θ tensorially to a map $\bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}_2 \to \bigwedge^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{n}'_2$. By Lemma 3.19, the map $f : \omega \mapsto \beta(\theta(\omega)) \otimes 1$ is a vector space isomorphism which intertwines the given Clifford representations. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_l$ be a basis of weight vectors for $\mathfrak{n}_2$, where e_j has weight $\alpha_j \in \Xi_w$. For $S \subseteq \Xi_w$, let e_S denote the exterior product of the vectors e_j for $j \in S$. Then e_S has weight $\sum_{j\in\Xi_w}\alpha_j$. On the other hand, $\beta(\theta(e_S))$ has weight $-\sum_{j\in\Xi_w\setminus S}\alpha_w$ which equals $\left(\sum_{j\in S}\alpha_j\right) - \sigma_w$ by Equation (3.21). Thus the map f respects weights, *ie*, is M-equivariant. The parity of the map f is the same as the parity of the Hodge star operator. ☐

Inducing from M to K, the isomorphism f produces a K-equivariant bundle map from $\mathsf{S} = K \times_M \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{n}_2$ to $\mathsf{S}' \otimes E_{\sigma_w} = K \times_M \big(\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{n}_2' \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_w}\big)$, and hence from $\pi^*\mathsf{S}$ to $\pi^*\mathsf{S}' \otimes \pi^* E_{\sigma_w}$. Moreover, this map will intertwine the endomorphisms c on $\pi^*\mathsf{S}$ and $(-c \circ \theta) \otimes \mathrm{id}$ on $\pi^*\mathsf{S}' \otimes \pi^* E_{\sigma_w}$. Combining this with the homotopy constructed earlier, we have the equality of t_V and $(\Phi^* t_{V_w}) \cdot [\pi^* E_{\sigma_w}]$ in $\mathrm{K}^0_K(|V|)$. Therefore,

$$\tau = \tau_w \cdot [F_{\sigma_w}] \quad \in K^0_K(G/B \cap B_w), \tag{3.23}$$

where recall F_ν denotes the bundle $G \times_{B \cap B_w} \mathbb{C}_\nu$ for $\nu \in \Gamma_W$.

Note that $F_\nu \otimes F_{\nu'} = F_{\nu+\nu'}$ for weights ν, ν'. Since $w \circledcirc \mu = w(\mu) + \sigma_w$, tensoring both sides of (3.23) by $[F_{w(\mu)}]$ gives

$$\tau \cdot [F_{w(\mu)}] = \tau_w \cdot [F_{w \circledcirc \mu}].$$

This confirms the equality of the geometric KK-theory classes of (3.12) and (3.13), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3.3. **The Borel-Bott-Weyl Theorem: Indices.** We now pass to the index-theoretic application. Let $p_{G/B} : G/B \to \star$ denote the map of G/B to a point and $p^*_{G/B} \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\mathbb{C}, G/B)$ its topological KK-theory class. We use the same notation for the corresponding analytic class, $p^*_{G/B} \in \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}(G/B))$.

For a weight μ, the topological K-index of the twisted fundamental class $[G/B]_\mu \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^G(G/B, \star)$ is defined by

$$(G/B)_\mu := p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{G/B} [G/B]_\mu \quad \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}).$$

We do not use different notation for the *analytic* index $(G/B)_\mu \in \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{R}(K)$; which one we are talking about will be made clear by the context. The analytic index is the same as the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^*(G/B, E_\mu)$ figuring in the classical Borel-Bott-Weil theorem, while the Aityah-Singer index theorem asserts that it is equal to the image of the topological index $(G/B)_\mu$ under the map $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B, \star) \to \mathrm{KK}^K(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.24. *The following formula holds in* $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\star, G/B)$*:*

$$p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{G/B} \Lambda(w) = p^*_{G/B}. \tag{3.25}$$

The direct analogue holds in KK^K*.*

Proof. Recall that $\Lambda(w)$ denotes the class of the correspondence

$$\begin{tikzcd} G/B & (G/B \cap B_w, \tau_w) \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[r, "p_w"] & G/B_w \cong G/B. \end{tikzcd}$$

The class τ_w is the Thom class of the complex vector bundle $p_w \colon G/B \cap B_w \to G/B$.

Composing this on the left with $p^*_{G/B} \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\star, G/B)$, which is represented by the map to a point, gives the class of the correspondence

$$\begin{tikzcd} \star & (G/B \cap B_w, \tau_w) \arrow[l] \arrow[r, "p_w"] & G/B_w \cong G/B \end{tikzcd}$$

Hence $p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{G/B} \Lambda(w)$ is the class of the Thom modification of

$$\begin{tikzcd} \star & (G/B_w, 0) \arrow[l] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & G/B_w \cong G/B\ , \end{tikzcd}$$

which is isomorphic to $\star \xleftarrow{p_{G/B}} (G/B, 0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} G/B$, whose class is $p^*_{G/B}$. Thus, $p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{G/B} \Lambda(w) = p^*_{G/B} \in \widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(\star, G/B)$ as claimed.

□

Let μ be a weight, and take the intersection product of both sides of (3.25) with the twisted fundamental class $[G/B]_\mu$ (on the right). Applying the natural map to analytic KK gives Theorem 1.2 as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3.

4. G-EQUIVARIANCE *versus* K-EQUIVARIANCE

In this section we show that the Baum-Connes conjecture allows us to replace K by G in the results above, at some sacrifice of explicitness.

Recall (from *e.g.* [2]) that G/K is a model for $\mathscr{E}G$, the universal classifying space for proper actions of G. In [16], Kasparov demonstrated the existence of elements

$$\delta \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G), \mathbb{C}), \qquad \hat{\delta} \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G)),$$

(called respectively the Dirac and dual Dirac elements) such that $\delta \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{\delta} = 1_{\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G)}$. The reverse composition $\gamma_G := \hat{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G)} \delta$ is an idempotent in $\mathrm{R}(G) := \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$.

The Dirac and dual Dirac classes are mainly useful for the following purpose.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a G-C^*-algebra. The map*

$$p^*_{\mathscr{E}G} : \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), A) \to \mathrm{KK}^{G \ltimes G/K}(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G) \otimes \mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G) \otimes A) = \mathrm{RKK}^G(\mathscr{E}G; \mathcal{C}(G/B), A) \tag{4.2}$$

induced by the map of $\mathscr{E}G$ to a point is an isomorphism. The map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} q & : & \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G) \otimes \mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G) \otimes A) \to \mathrm{KK}^G(A, B) \\ x & \mapsto & \hat{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G)} x \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{E}G)} \delta \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

(after forgetting from $G \ltimes \mathscr{E}G$-equivariance to G-equivariance) is inverse to $p^*_{\mathscr{E}G}$.

For the proof, see for example [7].

Now we combine the inflation isomorphism (4.2) with the Morita invariance of equivariant KK-theory (see [20]) to get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{KK}^K_*(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(X)) \cong \mathrm{KK}^{G \ltimes G/K}_*(\mathcal{C}_0(G/K \times G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(G/K \times X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}^G_*(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(X)). \tag{4.4}$$

The inverse isomorphism can be checked without difficulty to agree with the obvious map induced by restricting equivariance on cycles from G to K.

Finally, we combine the isomorphism (4.4) with the isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K_*(G/B, X) \cong \mathrm{KK}^K_*(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(X))$$

between topological and analytic K-equivariant Kasparov theory, proved in [10], valid because G/B is compact and admits a smooth equivariant normal map to a point. Putting everything together we obtain an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K(G/B, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}_0(X)) \tag{4.5}$$

valid for any G-space X, viewed as a K-space by restriction. This isomorphism is of course functorial with respect to intersection products and external products.

We continue to abuse notation, again denoting by

$$[G/B]_\mu \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathbb{C}), \qquad \Lambda(w) \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathcal{C}(G/B))$$

the images of the twisted fundamental class and the Borel-Bott-Weil morphism under the isomorphism (4.5).

Apply the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K \to \mathrm{KK}^K$ followed by the isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}^K \to \mathrm{KK}^G$ just described, to the equation (3.25). We need to evaluate the result. The isomorphism $\widehat{\mathrm{KK}}^K \to \mathrm{KK}^G$ sends $\Lambda(w)$ to the corresponding analytic class by definition. We need to consider the image of $p^*_{G/B}$. First of all the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{KK}^K(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}(G/B)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}^{G \ltimes G/K}(\mathcal{C}_0(G/K), \mathcal{C}_0(G/K \times G/B))$$

sends $p^*_{G/B}$ to $1_{\mathcal{C}(G/K)} \otimes p^*_{G/B}$, which is the class of the $G \ltimes G/K$-equivariant projection map $\mathrm{pr}_{G/K} : G/K \times G/B \to G/K$. The inverse isomorphism of Equation

(4.3) sends this to $(\hat{\delta} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} p^*_{G/B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(\mathscr{E}G)} \delta$ which, by the commutativity of products over $\mathbb{C}$, equals $\gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} p^*_{G/B}$. Therefore, the isomorphism (4.5) sends the analytic analogue of identity (3.25) to the identity

$$\gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} \Lambda(w) = \gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} p^*_{G/B}.$$

The left hand side equals $p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} (\gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda(w))$. But $\gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda(w) = \Lambda(w)$ because multiplication by γ acts as the identity on all groups $\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), A)$ (as routinely follows from Lemma 4.1.) Hence we get

$$p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} \Lambda(w) = \gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} p^*_{G/B} \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}(G/B)). \tag{4.6}$$

If we compose this product formula with the twisted fundamental class $[G/B]_\mu \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), \mathbb{C})$, where μ is any weight, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} [G/B]_\mu &= p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} \Lambda(w) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} [G/B]_\mu \\ &= (-1)^{l(w)} p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} [G/B]_{w \circledcirc \mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Since multiplication by γ acts as the identity on $\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathcal{C}(G/B), A)$ groups, the left-hand side of this equation is $p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} [G/B]_\mu = (G/B)_\mu \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G/B)_\mu = p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} [G/B]_\mu &= (-1)^{l(w)} p^*_{G/B} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(G/B)} [G/B]_{w \circledcirc \mu} \\ &= (-1)^{l(w)} (G/B)_{w \circledcirc \mu}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 1.2 in KK^G as well as in KK^K.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 484–530. MR 0236950 (38 #5243)

[2] Paul Baum, Alain Connes, and Nigel Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C^*-algebras*, C^*-Algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993), Contemp. Math., vol. 167, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 240–291. MR **1292018**

[3] Raoul Bott, *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 203–248.

[4] Alain Connes and Georges Skandalis, *The longitudinal index theorem for foliations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **20** (1984), no. 6, 1139–1183. MR **775126**

[5] Peter Abramenko and Kenneth S. Brown, *Buildings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 248, Springer, New York, 2008. Theory and applications. MR 2439729

[6] Neil and Ginzburg Chriss Victor, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.

[7] Heath Emerson, *Noncommutative Poincaré duality for boundary actions of hyperbolic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **564** (2003), 1–33.

[8] ———, *Duality, correspondences and the Lefschetz map in equivariant* KK*-theory: a survey* (2009), eprint.

[9] Heath Emerson and Ralf Meyer, *Equivariant representable K-theory*, Journal of Topology (2008), To appear. arXiv: 0711.0025.

[10] ———, *Bivariant K-theory via correspondences* (2008), eprint. arXiv: 0812.4949.

[11] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original. MR **1288523 (95d:**14001)

[12] Sigurdur Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 80, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.

[13] Nigel Higson and John Roe, *Analytic K-homology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications. MR **1817560 (2002c:**58036)

[14] James E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. Second printing, revised. MR **499562 (81b:**17007)

[15] Gennadi G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of C^*-algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **44** (1980), no. 3, 571–636, 719 (Russian); English transl., Math. USSR-Izv. **16** (1981), no. 3, 513–572 (1981). MR **582160**

[16] ———, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 1, 147–201. MR **918241**

[17] Anthony W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Second, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

[18] ———, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original.

[19] Jan Kubarski and Nicolae Teleman, *Linear direct connections*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, Banach Center Publ., vol. 76, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2007, pp. 425–436. MR **2346971**

[20] Pierre-Yves Le Gall, *Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes. I*, K-Theory **16** (1999), no. 4, 361–390 (French, with English and French summaries). MR **1686846**

[21] Wolfgang Lück and Bob Oliver, *The completion theorem in K-theory for proper actions of a discrete group*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 3, 585–616. MR **1838997**

[22] Wolfgang Lück and Jonathan Rosenberg, *The equivariant Lefschetz fixed point theorem for proper cocompact G-manifolds*, High-dimensional manifold topology, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 322–361. MR **2048727**

[23] ———, *Equivariant Euler characteristics and K-homology Euler classes for proper cocompact G-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 569–613. MR **2026542**

[24] Pierre-Yves Le Gall, *Théorie de Kasparov équivariante et groupoïdes. I*, K-Theory **16** (1999), no. 4, 361–390 (French, with English and French summaries). MR **1686846**

[25] Saunders MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5. MR **0354798**

[26] Ralf Meyer, *Categorical aspects of bivariant* K*-theory*, K-theory and Noncommutative Geometry (Valladolid, Spain, 2006), to appear. arXiv: math/0702145.

[27] Ralf Meyer and Ryszard Nest, *The Baum–Connes conjecture via localisation of categories*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 2, 209–259. MR **2193334**

[28] May Nilsen, *C^*-bundles and $C_0(X)$-algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), no. 2, 463–477. MR **1414338**

[29] Bodo Pareigis, *Non-additive ring and module theory. II. $\mathcal{C}$-categories, $\mathcal{C}$-functors and $\mathcal{C}$-morphisms*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **24** (1977), no. 3-4, 351–361. MR **0498792**

[30] R. J. Plymen, *Strong Morita equivalence, spinors and symplectic spinors*, J. Operator Theory **16** (1986), no. 2, 305–324. MR **860349 (88d:**58112)

[31] Jeff Raven, *An equivariant bivariant Chern character*, PhD Thesis, the Pennsylvannia State University (2004). http://etda.libraries.psu.edu/theses/approved/WorldWideIndex/ETD-723/index.html.

[32] John Roe, *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods*, 2nd ed., Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 395, Longman, Harlow, 1998. MR **1670907 (99m:**58182)

[33] Neantro Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories Tannakiennes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972 (French). MR **0338002**

[34] Georges Skandalis, *Kasparov's bivariant K-theory and applications*, Exposition. Math. **9** (1991), no. 3, 193–250. MR **1121156**

[35] Jean-Louis Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K-Theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264 (French, with English and French summaries). MR **1703305 (2000g:**19004)

[36] Jacques Tits, *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 386, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974. MR 0470099 (57 #9866)

[37] Jean-Louis Tu, *La conjecture de Baum–Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K-Theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264 (French, with English and French summaries). MR **1703305**

E-mail address: `hemerson@math.uvic.ca`

E-mail address: `yuncken@math.uvic.ca`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, PO BOX 3045 STN CSC, Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 3P4

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, PO BOX 3045 STN CSC, Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 3P4